Exhibit G

Doc# 11673-7 Filed: 12/07/21 Entered: 12/07/21 14:30:17 Page 1 of 4 Case: 19-30088

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	-000-	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	In Re: PG&E CORPORATION AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY. Debtors.) Case No. 19-30088) Chapter 11)) San Francisco, California) Wednesday, March 27, 2019) 9:30 AM ADV#: 19-03006 PG&E CORPORATION, ET AL. v. PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION OF NEW MEXICO, ET AL. [10] MOTION OF DEBTORS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C.
12		SECTIONS 105(A) AND 362 FOR
13		INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS ESTABLISHING NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES AND APPROVING
14		RESTRICTIONS ON CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF STOCK OF AND
15		CLAIMS AGAINST THE DEBTORS.
16		[23] MOTION OF DEBTORS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C.
17		SECTIONS 105, 362, 363, 364, 503, AND 507 AND FED. R.
18		BANKR. P. 2002, 4001, 6003, 6004 AND 9014 FOR INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I) AUTHORIZING
19		THE DEBTORS TO OBTAIN SENIOR SECURED, SUPERPRIORITY, POST-
20		PETITION FINANCING, (II) GRANTING LIENS AND
21		SUPERPRIORITY CLAIMS, (III) MODIFYING THE AUTOMATIC STAY,
22		(IV) SCHEDULING FINAL HEARING AND (V) GRANTING RELATED
23		RELIEF.
24 25		[770] CORRECTED MOTION FOR DEBTORS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. SECTIONS 363(B) AND 105(A)

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

MR. LELAND: Certainly, Your Honor. Good morning. 1 Му 2 name is Thomas Leland; I'm from the law firm of Holland & 3 Knight on --4 THE COURT: Oh, okay. MR. LELAND: -- behalf of Tiger Natural Gas. 5 6 THE COURT: So you weren't the signer of the petition. 7 MR. LELAND: Yeah, and I can make this real easy. 8 had the same thought as you. I think the underlying issue is somewhat mooted by the fact that Judge White has stayed the 9 10 action with respect to the nondebtor defendants. 11 THE COURT: Well, and the -- and Congress stayed it as 12 to the debtor defendants. MR. LELAND: Well, right. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LELAND: And we've got the automatic stay, of 15 16 course. 17 THE COURT: Right. 18 MR. LELAND: So I don't really see a need to enter an 19 injunction. As far as Tiger's intention, it is to either seek 20 to have its claim be allowed or to move for relief from stay. 21 THE COURT: Well, one of the troubles I had with your 22 position is in reading our opposition -- and I'm not going to worry about the fact that you filed one in the wrong case and 23 24 then changed your tune a little bit -- I'm not going to worry 25 about that.

```
But your opposition really sounded more like a motion
 1
    for relief from stay. You don't really want to prosecute this
 2
    lawsuit against one former and two current employees, do you?
 3
             MR. LELAND: Yeah, Your Honor, I --
 4
             THE COURT: I mean, that's crazy.
 5
             MR. LELAND: -- as I was reading it and getting ready
 6
 7
    on the plane too, I was having the same thought. Plus
 8
    practically, it's already -- it's already stayed.
 9
             THE COURT: Well, I know.
10
             MR. LELAND: We do not -- we certainly do not intend,
    if the injunction is denied, to run back to Judge White and say
11
12
    look, he denied the injunction, lift the stay with respect to
13
    the individuals.
14
             THE COURT: But -- and that's right. And you'd have
15
    to -- you'd be kidding to go to Judge White and say can we
    please have a trial against these three people.
16
17
             MR. LELAND: Right. Right.
18
             THE COURT: I mean --
19
             MR. LELAND: I feel as though that we had to file our
    opposition because we need to preserve our position with --
20
21
             THE COURT: Well, why don't --
22
             MR. LELAND: -- to these three individuals --
             THE COURT: -- we do this?
23
24
             MR. LELAND: Okay.
25
             THE COURT: Why don't we just take you at your offer
```

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net